UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,151	09/829,151 04/09/2001 Alan Young		063170.6800	8242
5073 BAKER BOTT	7590 10/22/200 S L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS AV	ENUE	NGUYEN, TAN D		
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 7	75201-2980	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3689	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/22/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/829,151	YOUNG, ALAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tan Dean D. Nguyen	3689	

	Tail Beall B. Ngayen	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment filed after a filed amendment filed after a filed after a filed amendment filed after a filed amendment filed after a filed amendment filed after a filed after a filed amendment filed amendmen	nsideration and/or search (see NO¯ w);	ΓE below);
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a control NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
<ul><li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li><li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to: 12 and 13.  Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.9 and 10.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consider because:  See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for allowance
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	/Tan Dean D. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 3689

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The general teaching of monitoring the business (sales or marketing) information/data related to KPI, analyzing the data and determining the value of the KPI based on the monitored information are fairly taught in ORACLE or PEOPLESOFT or COGNOS article. The displaying of the determined value of KPI is taught in BATTAT et al. As for the functions of the information and message received in the 1st and 3rd steps, these are normally included in the monitoring or querring of data for relevant information related to the KPI. Furthermore, the functions of the information or message in the receiving steps are considered as non-fucntional descriptive material (NFDM) and may not have any patentable weight since the steps are part of a data processing system or computer implemented system.

As for the limitation followed the "received information" or "received message", they are considered as non-functional descriptive material (NFDM) on the data of "...", thus having no patentable weight. The mere insertion of "occurence" data over "data" does not "impart functionality when employed as a computer component", thus having no patentable weight.

See MPEP 2106.01 "Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works, and a compilation or mere arrangement of data. Both types of "descriptive material" are nonstatutory when claimed as descriptive material per se, 33 F.3d at 1360, 31 USPQ2d at 1759. When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994)(discussing patentable weight of data structure limitations in the context of a statutory claim to a data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency) and >In re< Warmerdam, 33 F.3d \*>1354,< 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d \*>1754,< 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

As for the objections of dep. claims 12 and 13, they are maintained. They do not appear to further limit the "received business event message (information)" or "received business data" of the 2<sup>nd</sup> receiving step. Furthermore, they are also being considered as NFDM and have no patentable weight as cited above.